

United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/053,554	01/24/2002	Takeshi Takizawa	Q68228	3319	
23373 7	590 07/22/2003				
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER		
	'LVANIA AVENUE, N.W N, DC 20037		PATIDAR	PATIDAR, JAY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2862		
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/053,554	TAKIZAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Guilliary	Examin r	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Jay M. Patidar	2862					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	pril 2003 .						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-39</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 April 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		N.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/053,554 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

This communication is in response to applicants amendment filed on April
 29, 2003.

- 2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on April 29, 2003 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,6-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French.

French discloses a wheel rotation detecting device with a rolling bearing unit; a stationary ring; a rotary ring; a plurality of rolling elements; an encoder; a plurality of sensing elements including a first sensor for temperature measuring and a second sensor for detecting vibration etc. (Note fig. 8, Col. 1, lines 52+).

Application/Control Number: 10/053,554 Page 3

Art Unit: 2862

French fails to show the holder made of synthetic resin. It is very well known in the magnetic field sensing art to use synthetic resin or just molded resin for supporting sensing elements (note references on PTO form 892) to make sensing elements integrated, prevent vibrations or from external environment. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of French to support sensing elements in the synthetic resin to make the device more sturdy thus enhancing the reliability and sensitivity of the device.

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4,6-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Morita et al. and Sakamoto et al. are cited to show synthetic resin.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 703-308-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,554 Page 4

Art Unit: 2862

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0956.

Jay M. Patidar
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2862

July 14, 2003